

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

FEB 0 8 2006

Pabst Patent Group LLP 400 Colony Square, Suite 1200 1201 Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30361

Telephone (404) 879-2150 Telefax (404) 879-2160

information@pabstpatent.com www.pabstpatent.com

TELEFAX

Date:

February 8, 2006

Total pages: 19 with fax

cover

To:

USPTO

Telephone:

Telefax: 571-273-8300

From: Tiffany Salmon

Telephone: 404-879-2153

Telefax: 404-879-2160

Our Docket No. MIT 6620 CIP

Your Docket No.

Client/Matter No. 095148/19

Please call (404) 879-2150 if you did not receive all of the pages, or if they are illegible.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This facsimile, along with any documents, files, or attachments, may contain information that is confidential, privileged, or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any information contained in or attached to this facsimile is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by facsimile or by telephone collect at the numbers stated above, and destroy the original facsimile and its attachments without reading, printing, or saving in any manner. Your cooperation is appreciated. Thank you.

MESSAGE:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Monty Krieger and Susan L. Acton

Serial No.:

08/765,108

Art Unit:

1649

Filed:

March 27, 1997

Examiner:

Michael T. Brannock

For:

CLASS B1 AND C1 SCAVENGER RECEPTORS

Attachments: Transmittal Form PTO/SB/21, Fee Transmittal Form PTO/SB/17, Response to Request by the Examiner for Sequence Listing Diskette and Support for Inventorship with copies of Preliminary Amendment mailed 12/23/1996 and Office Action mailed 3/5/02.

(45064257.1)

	U.S	Date at east Tondomore	a-tollor DE	07/31/2006. OMB 0851-0031 PARTMENT OF COMMERCE	
Under the Paustwork Reduction Act of 199	95. no persons are required to respond to a s Application Number	collection of information	n unless it display	s a valid OMB control number.	
		08/765,108	007		
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date First Named Inventor	March 27, 1		RECEIVED	
FORM	Art Unit	Monty Krieg	lei.	CENTRAL FAX CE	
	Examiner Name		7	FEB 0 8 20	
(to be used for all correspondence effer initi	al filing)	Michael T. E		1 10 0 0 20	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	18 Attorney Docket Number	MIT 6620 C	IP		
	ENCLOSURES (Check	all that apply)			
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)			nce Communication to TC	
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers				
Amendment/Reply	Petition	Petition Appeal Communication (Appeal Notice, Brief, Rep			
	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	\[]	Proprietary	Information	
After Final	Power of Attorney, Revoce	ntion	Status Lette	2 r	
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Change of Correspondence	e Address	sure(s) (please Identify		
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer		below):	uest by the Examiner for	
Express Abandonment Request	Request for Refund	Se	quence Listing	Diskette and Support for	
Information Disclosure Statement	CD, Number of CD(s)		ies of Preliminary 12/23/1996 and		
Information Disclosure Statement	Landscape Table on	l of	fice Action mal	led 3/5/02	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.5	Remarks 3				
- augus	NATURE OF APPLICANT, AT	TORNEY OR A	GENT		
Firm Name	ATURE OF APPLICANT, AT	IORAEI, ORA	QLI41		
Pabst Patent G	Proup LLP				
Signature Sulface	2				
Printed name Tiffany B. Salm	ion				
February 8, 200	D6	Reg. No. 5	5,589		
I hereby certify that this correspondence sufficient postage as first class mail in an	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMI is being facsimile transmitted to the Use envelope addressed to: Commissione	Astrones or OTGS	with the United	States Postal Service with andria, VA 22313-1450 on	
the date shown below. Signature	dres Russue		······		
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application, Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the including case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

	PTO/SB/17 (01-08)
Approved for use through (17/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
Abigree in age allocal.	TOTAL OF SOUNDEDAN
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEP.	ARTMENT OF COMMERCE
O'2' halfall stiff illengment Outer! O'c' pri	
a collection of Information unless it displays	a valid OMR counci unumber

Under the Paperwork Reduction	n Act of 1995	no persona arc required t	о гевроп	d to a collection of	or intorma	ation unless i	Caspiays a v	BIN CHID OF THE THE	
Fees pursuant to the Consolida	ded Anomadeti	ions Act. 2005 (H.R. 4818	».		1.	omplete i			
FEE TR	ANIC	LATTINA	Ap	ptication Numb		18/765,108		RECEIV	
				ing Date		March 27,		CENTRALFAX	CENTE
For	FY 20	106		st Named Inve		Monty Krle		FEB 0 8	pune
Applicant claims small	entity status.	See 37 CFR 1.27	-	caminer Name	$\overline{}$		Brannock	12500	2000
				t Unit		1649)
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYE	MENT (\$)	0.00	At	tomey Docket I	NO. 1	VIT 6620	- IP		1
METHOD OF PAYMENT	(check all	that apply)	_				<u>, </u>		
	, parama					.04.54			
Check Credit (foney Order	None				Potent G	Eroup LLP	
Deposit Account	eposit Account	Number: <u>50-3129</u>						roup LLP	
		ecount, the Director is	nereby						
Charge fee(\$)				==	fee(s)	Indicated be	elow, excep	t for the filling fee	\$
		s) or underpayments (rpayments			
under 37 CFF warning: Information on the	s form may be	come public. Credit can	d Inform	ation should no	t be incl	uded on t his	form. Provi	de credit card	
Information and authorization FEE CALCULATION (A	on PTQ-2038.			_					1
				or may we t	, 40 ,00				1
1. Basic filing, SEAF	RCH, AND E FILING F	EXAMINATION FEE FEES SI	:a Earch	FEES	EXAN	NOITANI	FEES		1
	` <u>s</u>	mall Entity	8	mail Entity	Fee	<u>Small I</u>	<u>Entity</u>	Fees Paid (\$)	
Application Type	<u>Fee (\$)</u>		ю (\$) ~ 00	<u>Fee (5)</u> 250	200				1
Utility	300	-	00	50	130				
Design	200		00	150	160				i
Plant	200	-	00	250	600				1
Reissue	300				(,)		1
Provisional	200	100	0	0	,	, ,	_	mall Entity	1
2. EXCESS CLAIM FE	ĒS					E	e <u>e (\$)</u>	<u>Fee (\$)</u>	
Each claim over 20 (including R	eissues)					50 200	25 100	1
Each independent cla		(including Reissues))				200 360	180	1
Multiple dependent o	claims Extra Clair	ns <u>Fee (\$)</u>	Fee P	aid (\$)				endent Claims	1
16 - 20 or HP ≃		x <u></u> =	0.0			5	ee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	1
HP = highest number of lots	daims paid fo	or, if greater than 20.	Ean D	aí đ (\$)					Į.
Indep. Claims 7 - 7 or HP =	<u>Extra Clair</u>	x⊭	0.0	00					
HP = highest number of inde	pendent claim:	s paid for, if greater than	3.	,					
3. APPLICATION SIZE If the specification and	d drawings i	exceed 100 sheets o	f pape	r (excluding e	electro	nically file	d sequenc	e or computer	
listings under 37 C	FR 1.52(e)), the application size	ze fee o	luc is \$250 (\$	\$125 to	r small en	tity) for e	ach additional 50	1
sheets or fraction t	hereof. See	. 25 TI C C 41/6W1	MC1 on	d 37 CFR 1.1 additlonal 50 c	IMCI				
Total Sheets - 100 =	Extra She	<u>#UIIDEFO</u> / 50 =	T CACIL	round up to a	whole n	umber) x		_=	1
4. OTHER FEE(S)								Fees Paid (\$)	
Non-English Specif	ication, \$	130 fee (no small et	itity di	scount)					.]
Other (e.g., late filit	ig surcha r ge	e):							<u>.</u>
SUBMITTED BY									_
Signature	57			egistration No.	55,5	89	Telephone	404-879-2153	
	ny B. Salm	<u>~ . — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — </u>	I (A	morney/Attenti			Date Fol	mon 8,2006	5
Name (Print/Type) Tiffa	ny <i>a.</i> Saiii							11 00 11 10 10 10 10	_

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file fand by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. ON NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

MIT 6620 CIP 095148/19

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Monty Krieger and Susan L. Acton

FEB 0 8 2006

Serial No.:

08/765,108

Art Unit:

1649

Filed:

March 27, 1997

Examiner:

Michael T. Brannock

For:

CLASS B1 AND C1 SCAVENGER RECEPTORS

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

> Response to Request by the Examiner for Sequence Listing Diskette and Support for Inventorship

Sir:

During the Examiner's telephone conversation with Patrea Pabst on January 31, 2006, the Examiner requested that a sequence listing diskette or a request to transfer the sequence listing diskette from the parent application be filed. The Examiner also requested copies of documents to support the change of inventorship.

Enclosed is a copy of the Preliminary Amendment filed December 23, 1996 requesting that Alan M. Pearson and Attilio Rigotti be deleted as inventors (see MPEP § 201.03). Also enclosed is a copy of the Office Action mailed March 5, 2002, in which the Examiner stated that the inventorship of the present application has been changed by deletion of Alan M. Pearson and Attilio Rigotti in view of the papers filed December 23, 1996 (see page 3 of the Office Action).

Pursuant to the Examiner's request, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.821(e), please use the computer readable form filed in U.S. Serial No. 08/265,428, filed June 23, 1994, by Monty

45064156v1

1

MIT 6620 CIP 095148/00019

FEB. 8. 2006 2:30PM PABST PATENT GROUP NO. 6951 P. 5

U.S.S.N.: 08/765,108 Filed: March 27, 1997

Response to Request by the Examiner for

Sequence Listing Diskette and Support for Inventorship

Krieger, Susan L. Acton and Alan Pearson as the computer readable form for the above-identified application. The paper copy of the Sequence Listing in the above-identified application is identical to the computer readable copy of the Sequence Listing filed in U.S. Serial No. 08/265,428, to which the present application claims priority. It is understood that the U.S. P.T.O. will make the necessary change in application number and filing date for the instant application. A paper copy of the Sequence Listing was included in the originally-filed specification of the instant application.

Declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(f)

I declare that the material in the prior Sequence Listing is identical to the paper copy of the Sequence Listing present in the above-identified application, that the Sequence Listing does not add new matter to the application, and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

Tiffany B. Salmon Reg. No. 55,589

Dated: February 6, 2006

PABST PATENT GROUP LLP 400 Colony Square, Suite 1200 1201 Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30361 (404) 879-2154 (404) 879-2160 (Facsimile)

45064156v1

2

MIT 6620 CIP 095148/00019



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Depa States of Commerce United States Putent and Trudemark Office Address Commissioners of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20281

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/765 108	03/27/1997	MONTY KRIEGER		MIT6620CIP	5650

7590

03/05/2002

PATREA L. PABST HOLLAND AND KNIGHT LLP ONE ATLANTIC CENTER 1201 WEST PEACHTREET STREET, SUITE 2000 ATLANTA, GA 30309-3400

EXAMINER						
ULM, JOHN D						
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER					

1646

DATE MAILED: 03/05/2002

31

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Date: 3-13-02 (36-5-02) Romer

By: (78)

Date: 3-13-02 (36-5-02) Rsp w/o ed

(37-5-02) Rsp w/l ed

(58-5-02) Rsp w/a ed

(69-502) Rsp w/3 cot

Drop Dead.

RECEIVED

MAR 1 1 2002

PATENT DEPT.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No. 08/765,108	Applicant(s)	Krieger et	al.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	TO DE LE RECORDE CONTRACTORIO			
	John Ulm		1646				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wil	th the corres	pondence addres	·s			
Period for Reply		MANT	*****				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3	MON IF	1(S) FROM				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply required by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 							
Status							
1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>BPAI rem</u>				·			
	tion is non-final.		·	<u></u>			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex page				merits is			
Disposition of Claims		t- /	41 _ 46	-0			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	re withdrawn fro	om consideration.			
5) Claim(s)							
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>11-15, 19-22, and 44-50</u>	is/are rejected.						
7)							
8) Claims	are subje	ect to restri	ction and/or elec	ction requirement.			
Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	4						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□	approved	b)□ disapprov	ed.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some* c) None of;							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			(e).				
Attachment(s)							
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summery	(PTO-413) Paper	π Νο(ε).				
18) Notice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal P						
17) Information Disclosure Statement(e) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20] Other:						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/765,108

Art Unit: 1646

- I) Claims 11 to 15, 19 to 22 and 44 to 50 are pending in the instant application.
- II) The instant application has been remanded to the examiner of record by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for clarification of the outstanding issues, to afford Applicant an opportunity to respond to any rejections of record which might have been overlooked in the Appeal Brief and to apply a new reference based upon Applicant's admission during the oral hearing that was conducted on 16 August of 2001 that CD36 binds to LDL and acetylated LDL. The Board also indicated that Applicant may wish to use this opportunity to distinguish between the issues in the instant application and those principals which were expounded by the court in the decisions of In re Bell, 26 USPQ2d 1529, (Fed. Cir. 1993) and In re Deuel, 34 USPQ2d 1210, (Fed. Cir. 1995).
- III) In view of the oral hearing held on 16 August of 2001, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A restriction requirement and a new grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111, because the finality of the action mailed (a) 19 March of 1998 is withdrawn, or,
- (b) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132)

Page 3

Art Unit: 1646

or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2). The appeal brief which was filed on 18 February of 1999 was defective because it did not address the three rejections listed as 6, 8 and 9 in the summary of rejections below. The supplemental brief must address the new grounds of rejection as well as the grounds of rejection listed as 8 and 9 in the summary of rejections.

In view of the papers filed 23 December of 1996, the inventorship in this IV) nonprovisional application has been changed by the deletion of Alan M. Pearson and Atilio Rigoti.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of the file jacket and PTO PALM data to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

- The Board has expressed concern that Alan M. Pearson is listed as an Applicant on V) some of the correspondence in the instant application and, in particular, on the declaration under 35 U.S.C. § 1,132 which was submitted on 05 January of 1998. The inclusion of Alan M. Pearson and/or Atilio Rigoti in the list of "Applicants:" in the correspondence filed by Applicant should be of no consequence to the prosecution of the instant application since the inventorship of the claimed invention has been expressly identified by Applicant as Monty Krieger and Susan L. Acton in Paper Number 6, filed 23 December, 1996. Because the declaration under 35 U.S.C. § 1.132 was made by "the inventor" of the claimed subject matter to which it has been applied, it is unclear as to why the activities of Alan M. Pearson are of concern.
- The information disclosure statement which was filed on 05 May 1998 will not be VI) considered because it is not in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d). Specifically, it was filed after

Art Unit: 1646

·

Page 4

a Final Rejection but was not accompanied by a certification as specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e), a petition and a petition fee. Further, it did not contain copies of the documents cited therein (37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d)(1) and it did not specifically identify that prior U.S. Patent Application from which priority is claimed and in which a copy of each of the cited document can be found.

- VII) It is noted that either of claims 14 or 15 would be allowable if written in independent form without reference to those elements in the claims from which they depend, upon which rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, have been based. For example, a claim to "an isolated nucleic acid molecule encoding the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:4, 6 or 8" would be allowable.
 - VIII) The following is a summary of the outstanding grounds of rejection.
- 1) Claims 11 to 13, 19 to 22 and 44 to 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as the disclosure is not enabling for the production of an isolated nucleic acid encoding a scavenger receptor protein lacking one of the amino acid sequences that are disclosed in SEQ ID NOs:4, 6 and 8 of the instant application for those reasons of record as applied to claims 9 to 13, 15, 17, 19 to 22 and 44 to 50 in section 5 of Paper Number 10.
- 2) Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The instant specification does not provide an adequate written description of a nucleic acid which encodes a human scavenger receptor protein such that an

Page 5

Art Unit: 1646

artisan could make and use that nucleic acid, for those reasons of record in section 4 of Paper Number 10.

- 3) Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention for those reasons of record in section 6 of Paper Number 10. This claim has been rejected because it is drawn to a method without steps. This claim requires the inhibition of a process but does not require the administration of any agent to achieve the required inhibitory effect and the instant specification does not provide the guidance needed to practice the claimed method without resort to substantial undue experimentation.
- 4) Claims 44 to 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, because they are incomplete for those reasons of record in section 7 of Paper 10. Each of these claims is drawn to a method and yet none of them recite sufficient elements to provide the claimed method.
- 5) Claims 11 to 15, 19 to 22 and 44 to 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims are indefinite in so far as they recite the term "scavenger receptor protein type BI" as a limitation for those reasons of record in section 8.1 of Paper Number 10.
- 6) Claims 11, 12, 15, 19 to 22, and 44 to 50 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the

Page 6

Art Unit: 1646

subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims were vague and indefinite because the term "hybridizing" is a conditional limitation and no conditions are recited in these claims. This rejection is withdrawn in view of current patent practice. At the time that this rejection was made it was consistent with the patent practice in this art. However, current practice dictates that the recitation of nucleic acid hybridization in a claim in the absence of any hybridization conditions is to be treated as non-limiting because any nucleic acid will "hybridize" to any other nucleic acid under some conditions. Therefore, a claim which recites such a limitation is reasonably interpreted as encompassing any nucleic acid which meets all of the other limitations of the claim since that nucleic acid would meet the "hybridize" limitation solely by virtue of being a nucleic acid.

- 7) Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention for those reasons of record in section 8.4 of Paper Number 10.
- 8) Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention for those reasons of record in section 8.5 of Paper Number 10.
- 9) Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention for those reasons of record in section 8.6 of Paper Number 10.

Art Unit: 1646

Application/Control Number: 08/765,108

•

- Page 7
- 10) Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention for those reasons of record in section 8.7 of Paper Number 10.
- Claims 11, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by the Calvo et al. publication (I. Biol. Chem. 268(25):18929-18935, 05 Sept. 1993) for those reasons of record in section 9 of Paper Number 10.
- 12) Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over the Calvo et al. publication (<u>J. Biol. Chem.</u> 268(25):18929-18935, 05 Sept. 1993) for those reasons of record in section 10 of Paper Number 10.
 - IX) The following is a new grounds of rejection:
- by the Oquendo et al. publication (Cell 58:95-101, 14 July 1989). These claims encompass an isolated nucleic acid molecule which encodes a "scavenger receptor protein type BI" and which "hybridizes" to SEQ ID NOs: 3 and 7. As indicated in section 6 above, because the "hybridizes" limitation in these claims is unconditional, they encompass any isolated nucleic acid molecule which encodes a "scavenger receptor protein type BI". The text in the paragraph bridging pages 38 and 39 of the instant specification defines the term "scavenger receptor protein type BI" solely by function. Because Applicant conceded, during the oral hearing which was held on 16 August 2001, that CD36 meets the functional limitations of these claims then the instant claims

Page 8

Art Unit: 1646

encompass the isolated nucleic acid molecule which was described in Figure 4 of the Oquendo et al. publication more than a year before the filing of the instant application.

- X) If Applicant chooses to reply to this action under 37 CFR 1.111, restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 for further prosecution on the merits:
 - I. Claims 11 to 15 and 19 to 22, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid molecule encoding a "scavenger receptor protein type BI" and a host cell containing that molecule. classified in class 435, subclass 252.3 and class 536, subclass 23.5.
 - II. Claims 44 to 47, drawn to a binding assay, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2 and class 436, subclass 501.
 - M. Claim 48, drawn to a method of treatment by administering a "scavenger recentor protein type BI', classified in class 514, subclass 2.
 - IV. Claim 49, drawn to a method of treatment of undefined nature, classification undeterminable.
 - V. Claim 50, drawn to a diagnostic assay, classified in class 436, subclass 536.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be employed as a probe to study the



-FEB. 8. 2006 2:37PM PABST PATENT GROUP

NO. 6951 P. 18

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 08/765,108

Art Unit: 1646

expression of the corresponding gene, which is a process that is unrelated to the binding assay that is invention II. Further, the assay of invention II, as claimed, can be practiced with a purified protein or with a cell which naturally expresses that protein, both of which are products that are materially different from the isolated nucleic acid molecule of invention I.

Inventions III, IV and V are unrelated to one another or to inventions I and II. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case inventions II to V are four different methods which achieve different objectives by employing different reagents and different steps, each having a different mode of operation. Further, the methods that are inventions III to V do not employ or produce the isolated nucleic acid molecule that is invention I.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and because the examination of these multiple inventions in a single application has proven to be an undue burden, restriction for further examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

Page 10

Art Unit: 1646

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John D. Ulm whose telephone number is (703) 308-4008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached at (703) 308-6564.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242 or (703) 872-9306. Official responses under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 should be directed to (703) 872-9307.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

JOHN ULM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800